<u>Duane</u> Morris

FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

NEW YORK LONDON

CHICAGO

HOUSTON PHILADELPHIA

SAN DIEGO

SAN FRANCISCO

DETROIT

BOSTON

WASHINGTON, DC

ATLANTA

MIAMI PITTSBURGH

NEWARK

ALLENTOWN

WILMINGTON

CHERRY HILL

HARRISBURG

BANGOR

PRINCETON PALM BEACH

WESTCHESTER

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation WT Docket No. 02-55

Dear Ms. Dortch:

WILLIAM K. KEANE

www.duanemorris.com

March 25, 2004

Secretary

DIRECT DIAL: 202.776.5243

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq.

445 12th Street, S. W.

Washington, D. C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission

This is to advise that on this date Frank C. Weaver, Director, Telecommunication Policy, The Boeing Company; Larry Fineran, Vice-President, National Association of Manufacturers; and the undersigned, representing the National Association of Manufacturers and MRFAC, Inc., met with Commissioner Adelstein, his legal assistant, Barry Ohlson, and his special assistant, Anne Perkins, regarding the above-referenced proceeding. The focus of the meeting was on protections for incumbent licensees. A summary of points discussed is attached. In addition, the parties discussed the NAM/MRFAC filing of March 24.

An original and one copy of the letter are submitted for inclusion in the Docket.

Sincerely,

/s/ William K. Keane

William K. Keane

Attachment

cc: Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy

Barry Ohlson

Anne Perkins

Jennifer Manner

John B. Muleta, Bureau Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

Edmond J. Thomas, Chief Engineer, Office of Engineering and Technology

Michael J. Wilhelm, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

DUANE MORRIS LLP

Due Process and Re-Banding

Protections for incumbent licensees should be based closely on existing Rule 90.699 with minimal adjustments to accommodate NPSPAC block relocation. For example:

- The Commission should administer any re-banding process with support, as appropriate, from a disinterested contractor not aligned with any party to this proceeding
- Require mandatory negotiations with Nextel commencing on effective date of Report and Order with end dates keyed to relocation date for each Region
- Retain requirement that new facilities be comparable to replaced facilities
- Condition availability of new spectrum assignments on Nextel completing relocations
- Retain the existing Rule's provision for involuntary relocation in the absence of agreement
- Require resort to alternative dispute resolution on expedited basis in the event of disagreements over comparability and/or reimbursement
- Create expedited process for Commission review of any unresolved disputes/waiver requests
- Five years' operating costs per current Rule 90.699